Molecules in Carbon Nanotubes

ANDREI N. KHLOBYSTOV,*,[†] DAVID A. BRITZ,[‡] AND G. ANDREW D. BRIGGS*,[‡]

School of Chemistry, University of Nottingham, University Park, Nottingham NG7 2RD, U.K., and Department of Materials, Oxford University, Parks Rd, Oxford OX1 3PH, U.K.

Received June 2, 2005

ABSTRACT

This Account focuses on structural and dynamic behavior of molecules encapsulated in carbon nanotubes. The impact of the confinement on the molecular packing, orientation, translation, rotation, and reactivity is demonstrated for a range of fullerene and nonfullerene molecules. These phenomena are described and analyzed using the current understanding of molecule–nanotube and intermolecular interactions.

Introduction

Carbon nanotubes (NTs) are attracting a great deal of attention as structures possessing remarkable physical properties such as high mechanical strength, coherent electron transport, and excellent thermal properties.¹ Due to their unique properties, NTs are being explored for many future commercial and industrial products in virtually all areas of science. From a chemist's perspective, one of the most fascinating properties of nanotubes is their ability to encapsulate molecules and confine them to form quasi-1D arrays. Many reports on molecule-filled NTs concentrate on the physical properties of the nanotubes and their response to the presence of the absorbed molecules, which are viewed as dopants controlling nanotube properties such as conductance² or electronic band gap.3 The central aim of this Account is to sum up known information about the structural and dynamic behavior

David A. Britz graduated in 2002 from the University of Virginia with a B.Sc. in Mechanical Engineering. He has recently finished his study for a D.Phil. degree at the Department of Materials, Oxford University. His primary research interests are in the areas of emerging technologies, self-assembled structures, and nanomaterials.

G. Andrew D. Briggs is Professor of Nanomaterials at the University of Oxford (www.nanotech.org) and Director of the Quantum Information Processing Interdisciplinary Research Collaboration (www.qipirc.org). He received his B.A. and M.A. in Physics from the University of Oxford, and his Ph.D. and a degree in Theology from the University of Cambridge. His work is characterized by a close relationship between experimental observation and theoretical modeling. He is currently pursuing the application of nanomaterials to quantum computing, especially nitride quantum dots and carbon nanotubes and fullerenes.

of molecules encapsulated in carbon nanotubes. The examples presented here compare the impact of confinement on the molecules with their unconfined state (solid or solution) to illustrate the potential applications of carbon nanotubes in chemistry. Because ionic inorganic structures inside NTs have been well reviewed,⁴ this Account considers only molecular systems in carbon nanotubes.

C₆₀ in Carbon Nanotubes

The first molecule ever reported inside carbon nanotubes was the Buckminster fullerene C_{60} .⁵ The insertion of C_{60} , formed together with nanotubes by a pulsed laser vaporization method, occurred accidentally during postsynthesis processing of the nanotubes and was confirmed unambiguously by high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) in 1998, marking the beginning of the new class of molecular self-assembled nanomaterials. Nanotubes filled with fullerenes were named "peapods" because of their similar appearance, and fullerenes have remained the favorite type of molecule to insert into nanotubes, as evidenced by the vast majority of studies dedicated to peapods as compared to nanotubes filled other types of molecules.

Fullerenes are the choice of guest for NTs for more than historical reasons, as both fullerenes and nanotubes are structurally related graphitic materials made by similar processes. Highly effective van der Waals forces and the perfect geometrical match of the shape of a fullerene and the interior of a nanotube provide a highly efficient interaction that can be as high as 3 eV per C_{60} , i.e., 50% higher than the cohesive energy of fcc fullerene crystal.⁶ This indicates that the encapsulation of C₆₀ in singlewalled nanotubes (SWNTs) is a spontaneous and irreversible process, provided that the nanotube diameter is about 0.6 nm wider than the fullerene diameter to allow for the thickness of the π -orbitals of the graphitic structures (Figure 1). In addition, fullerenes provide good contrast and are stable under the e-beam of a TEM, which facilitates their imaging and analysis.

Intermolecular Spacing

As a result of encapsulation in nanotubes, intermolecular interactions are expected to be altered substantially compared with the bulk crystal or the solution phase. For example, the energy gain of a fullerene entering a nanotube is manifested as a 0.5 nN force on each C_{60} driving the fullerene into a (10,10) nanotube.⁷ Based on this observation, it has also been suggested that an effective pressure of about 1 GPa exists inside a fullerene-filled nanotube, which should significantly shorten the intermolecular spacing as compared to the crystal.⁸ Secondary effects related to the orbital interactions between nano-

Andrei N. Khlobystov received M.Sc. and Ph.D. degrees in Chemistry from Moscow State University (Russia) in 1997 and the University of Nottingham (U.K.) in 2002, respectively. He then joined the Department of Materials, Oxford University, as a postdoctoral research assistant. He has been awarded the Leverhulme Trust Early Career Fellowship in 2004. He is currently working as a RCUK Research Fellow at the School of Chemistry, University of Nottingham. He also recently received a European Young Investigator Award. His research interests include coordination and supramolecular chemistry, functional molecular materials, carbon nanomaterials, and electron microscopy.

^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail addresses: Andrei.Khlobystov@nottingham.ac.uk; andrew.briggs@materials.ox.ac.uk. † University of Nottingham.

[‡] Oxford University.



FIGURE 1. Structural diagram (a), schematic representation (b), and HRTEM micrograph (c) of C_{60} @SWNT.

tubes and the guest molecules, together with van der Waals forces, can also perturb the state of the molecules.⁹

The intermolecular separation can be used to probe the interactions between the molecules. The distance between molecules can be measured by conventional direct space HRTEM imaging: the micrographs essentially represent 2D projections of the peapod structures onto the photographic plane where each C₆₀ fullerene molecule can be seen as a circle encased between the nanotube walls appearing as two parallel lines (Figure 1b,c). The intermolecular separation for C₆₀ is on the order of 1 nm, which is close to the interfullerene separation of 1.004 nm in a fcc C₆₀ crystal.¹⁰ The similar interfullerene spacing is a good experimental indication that the nature of the interfullerene and the fullerene-nanotube interactions is van der Waals forces, similar to those in fullerene crystals (Figure 2a) or between sheets of graphite. It was also found that a simple Lennard-Jones potential¹¹ could describe peapod geometry quantitatively, giving further evidence that the nature of the interaction is dominated by van der Waals' forces. More accurate methods than HRTEM for determining intermolecular spacing provide valuable information about subtle differences between behaviors of the molecules inside nanotubes and in the bulk crystal. Because of its 3D periodicity, the structure of a macroscopic fullerene crystal can be determined by singlecrystal X-ray diffraction with an accuracy of ± 0.001 nm.¹⁰ Single-walled carbon nanotubes tend to form large bundles with tens or hundreds of nanotubes aligned parallel to each other similar to the logs in a raft (Figure 2b,c). The electron beam in a TEM can be condensed to dimensions comparable to that of the bundle, and thus an e-beam diffraction pattern can be taken, revealing weak diffraction features corresponding to periodically spaced C₆₀.¹² From the initial diffraction measurements, it was concluded that the intermolecular spacing tends to be 3% shorter in nanotubes than in bulk crystals,13 which would be consistent with the compression of fullerenes inside nanotube as a result of van der Waals forces.8 Similar measurements were subsequently made on improved samples of C60@SWNT peapods using an internal standard for analyz-



FIGURE 2. Fragment of the crystal structure of C_{60} (a) and structural diagram (b) and HRTEM micrograph (c) of a bundle of C_{60} @SWNTs.



FIGURE 3. HRTEM micrographs of C_{60} -filled double-walled nanotubes with internal diameters of 1.1 nm (a) and 2.2 nm (b).

ing the diffractograms; these demonstrated that in fact there is no measurable difference between $C_{60}-C_{60}$ distances in nanotubes or in the bulk crystal.¹³

The first indication that the structural behavior of C_{60} fullerenes confined in carbon nanotubes can be qualitatively different from the bulk came from studies of C₆₀ in double-walled carbon nanotubes (DWNTs).14,15 Fullerenes formed regular peapod structures in DWNTs with narrow internal diameters similar to those in SWNTs (Figure 3a); in wider DWNTs, fullerenes were found to be disordered (Figure 3b). Theoretical calculations predict that the ordering of molecules in nanotubes depends on temperature, so C₆₀@NTs,which form a highly ordered structure at low temperature, become disordered as higher temperature. The temperature of the order-disorder transition in turn depends on the filling factor and the diameter of the nanotube: in a partially filled 2 nm wide (15,15)nanotube, an ordered zigzag phase of C₆₀ undergoes melting into a disordered one at 75 K.16 Unlike melting of



FIGURE 4. Dependence of the packing arrangement of C_{60} inside NTs on nanotubes internal diameters.

solids in the bulk, the order-disorder transition can be controlled by the nanotube filling ratio, and hence heating of partially filled DWNTs with an excess of C₆₀ in the gas phase enables the observation of the ordered phases at room temperature (Figure 4). The type of the packing arrangement of C₆₀ appears to depend on the internal diameter of the nanotubes, resembling the packing of hard macroscopic spheres inside hard cylinders.¹⁷ Ten possible arrangements for C₆₀ inside nanotubes are possible,¹⁸ none of which can exist in the bulk. This phenomenon is an observable manifestation of the confinement effect inside nanotubes. The zigzag phase formed in nanotubes with internal diameter 1.45-2.16 nm can be imaged in HRTEM, but more complex structures such as the two-molecule layer and the double helix are more difficult to visualize since fullerene projections overlap (Figure 4). The double helix appears to be significantly less abundant than the zigzag phase as it can exist only for a very narrow 0.07 nm range of nanotubes (d = 2.16-2.23 nm).

Molecular Orientation

The internal diameter of the nanotubes is important not only for the molecular packing of encapsulated molecules, but also for their orientation. X-ray and electron diffraction studies carried out for nanotube bundles filled with C_{70} , a fullerene of similar size to C₆₀ but with elongated "rugby ball" shape, revealed two sets of reflections corresponding to two different intermolecular spacings, 1.00 and 1.10 nm,¹² attributed to distinct transverse and longitudinal orientations of the ellipsoidal C70 in nanotubes (Figure 5a,b). In a C₇₀ crystal at room temperature, the rotation around the long molecular axis is frozen,19 but the alignment of C₇₀ inside SWNTs may have a different origin. DFT calculations performed for C₇₀@SWNTs structures with diameters 1.49 and 1.36 nm, combined with experimental HRTEM/Raman study for the same diameters, shed light on the alignment mechanism.²⁰ In narrower 1.36

nm nanotubes, the elongated fullerene molecules adopt exclusively a longitudinal orientation to minimize the repulsive component of van der Waals interactions with the interior of nanotubes (Figure 5a); in wider 1.49 nm nanotubes, the molecules are oriented in a transverse fashion to maximize the attractive component of van der Waals interactions (Figure 5b). The modeling also predicted the existence of intermediate orientations of C₇₀ in SWNTs with diameters between 1.36 and 1.49 nm, thus indicating that the molecular orientation depends continuously on the nanotube diameter, and suggesting a mechanism for controlling molecular arrays. The difference in energy between the longitudinal and the transverse orientations in 1.36 nm nanotubes is 0.6 eV, and that in 1.49 nm nanotubes is 0.02 eV, whereas the difference between the transverse and the longitudinal orientations of isolated chains of C70 fullerenes with van der Waals intermolecular separations at the chain repeat distances was found to be less than 0.002 eV. Thus, the molecular orientations in these systems are dictated by fullerene-nanotube interactions rather than by fullerenefullerene interactions. For C₆₀, such a difference in nanotube diameters would have a different consequence: since 1.45 nm is a threshold nanotube diameter below which C₆₀ molecules form a linear array and above which they form a zigzag phase; the difference between 1.36 and 1.49 nm nanotubes would result in a phase transition of C₆₀ (Figure 5c,d) rather the change of orientation, as observed for C₇₀. Again, the dominant interaction for such a phase transition in C₆₀ would be the fullerene-nanotube interaction,^{16,18} though this shifts toward $C_{60}-C_{60}$ interaction energy dominating as the coordination number of each fullerene and the diameter of the nanotube increase.

So far we have considered van der Waals forces as major interactions defining the geometry of molecular systems of fullerenes C₆₀ and C₇₀. Endohedral metallofullerenes containing one or more metal atoms inside the carbon cage have an asymmetric charge distribution as a result of interaction of the incarcerated metal atom with the cage (Figure 6a). These molecules possess electric dipole moments that influence their behavior inside nanotubes. The effect of the dipolar interactions on molecular orientations in carbon nanotubes can be observed for Ce@C₈₂ molecules, whose orientations can be visualized and analyzed by using HRTEM through the high single-atom contrast of Ce (Figure 6). The angle θ , a projection of the dihedral angle between the direction of the molecular dipole and the axis of the nanotube, can be measured from the micrographs, and the θ distribution for many Ce@C₈₂ fullerenes shows that the molecules have a tendency to align their dipolar moments along the nanotube axis and to maximize the electrostatic interactions between neighboring molecules. Such alignment is not observed in the bulk crystal or solution of Ce@C₈₂, where the molecules are freely rotating at room temperature, and depends on the quasi-1D confinement of the polar molecules in the nanotube.²¹ The metallofullerene orientation is likely to be driven by electrostatic dipole forces and is dominated by nearest neighbor fullerene-



FIGURE 5. Structural diagrams of a longitudinal orientation of C_{70} in (10,10)NT (d = 1.36 nm) (a), a transverse orientation of C_{70} in (11,11)NT (d = 1.49 nm) (b), a straight chain packing of C_{60} in (10,10)NT (d = 1.36 nm) (c), and a zigzag packing of C_{60} in (11,11)NT (d = 1.49 nm) (d).



FIGURE 6. Structural (a) and schematic (b) diagrams of Ce@C₈₂, distribution of angle θ in peapods (c), and structural diagram (d) and HRTEM micrograph (e) of (Ce@C₈₂)@SWNT.

fullerene interactions, though some contribution of fullerene–SWNT interactions in the molecular alignment is also possible.

Molecular Motion

The nanotube–fullerene interactions are strong but, because of the uniform surface inside the nanotube, the molecules can move from one position to another with only weak barriers between different registrations.²² Indeed the rotation of Ce@C₈₂ can be seen at room temperature (albeit under the influence of the electron beam) as the dark spot on HRTEM micrographs changes its position every few seconds.²³ The rotation appears as a series of abrupt rotational jumps each followed by several seconds of "resting" time, indicating the presence of the energetic barriers for the rotation on the order of ~0.2 eV. Such rotational barriers are almost negligible at room temperature in the bulk crystal of Ce@C₈₂;²³ their origin inside nanotubes can be attributed to the decrease in the coordination number of Ce@C₈₂ from 12 in the bulk crystal

(12 nearest neighbors around each molecule) to 2 within the peapod structure, which in turn increases the directionality and hence the efficiency of the fullerene– fullerene interaction.

The confinement in SWNTs affects the tumbling motion of endohedral atoms inside fullerene cages of $La_2@C_{80}$.²⁴ When the molecule is in solution, the pair of La-atoms rotates freely and uniformly. However, when $La_2@C_{80}$ is encapsulated in a 1.4 nm SWNT, the endohedral La-atoms are positioned closer to sides of the fullerene cage and their tumbling becomes discontinuous; the observed ratcheting is attributed to the energetic barriers for tumbling induced by the interactions between the molecules and the nanotube.

Molecular translation can also occur inside nanotubes, in a way that is scarcely possible in bulk fullerene crystals, though surface diffusion is possible. Translation can be observed in partially filled SWNTs where the gaps between the fullerenes exceed the molecular dimensions. A weak attraction between molecules can bring them together until they reach the optimum van der Waals separation. The molecules move from one position to another rather abruptly with several second intervals between the jumps (Figure 7). HRTEM analysis provides valuable information by allowing observation inside NTs, but effects of the electron beam on the molecules should be taken into consideration when interpreting the micrographs. Ionization and the heating effects of the e-beam on carbon nanotubes are probably negligible since carbon nanotubes are superb heat and electrical conductors, but ballistic knock-on collisions may be important for carbon nanomaterials.²⁵ The transferable energy for knock-on collisions, strongly dependent on the accelerating voltage of the microscope, is significantly minimized at 100 kV compared with higher accelerating voltages. The micrographs in Figures 1-7 were taken at 100 kV, using a small electron current density to minimize the effect of the e-beam, but even under these conditions, it would be difficult to estimate how much the molecules are influenced by collisions with electrons.



FIGURE 7. Time series of micrographs of a sparsely filled nanotube showing translation of fullerenes.



FIGURE 8. Structural diagrams of cobaltocene $CoCp_2$ (a) and $CoCp_2@SWNT$ (b) and HRTEM micrograph (c) showing two nanotubes filled with $CoCp_2$.

Interactions of Non-Fullerene Molecules with Nanotubes

Metallocenes, organometallic molecules of a cylindrical shape, are one of the few non-fullerene type molecules within the scope of this Account whose properties have been studied inside NTs (Figure 8). HRTEM imaging of metallocenes is difficult because they are significantly affected by the e-beam, causing displacement and possibly decomposition inside nanotubes.^{26,27} The energy of the interaction of $CoCp_2$, a cylindrical molecule with a Co-



FIGURE 9. Structural diagram of octasiloxane $Si_8H_8O_{12}$ (a) and $Si_8H_8O_{12}@SWNT$ (b,c) and HRTEM micrograph (d) of double-walled nanotube filled with octasiloxane.

atom sandwiched between two cyclopentadienyl rings, with a carbon NT was predicted to be only about 0.1 eV,²⁸ which is significantly lower than the fullerene-nanotube interaction. However, the nature of the CoCp₂-NT interaction appears to be more complex than pure van der Waals forces. CoCp₂ and Co(CpEt)₂ in SWNTs were probed by UV-vis absorption spectroscopy revealing that the oxidation state of Co is changed from +2 to +3 upon insertion of these metallocenes into SWNTs.27 Normally p-doped SWNTs under the ambient conditions withdraw a valence electron from Co ion, creating positive charge on the molecule and negative charge on the nanotube. Organic molecules with large electron affinity or small ionization potential can also produce p-doped or n-doped SWNTs, respectively, through the electron transfer between the molecules and nanotubes.²⁹ In the addition to the van der Waals component, metallocenes and other redox active molecules are expected to have a significant electrostatic component in their interactions with SWNTs.

Octasiloxane, Si₈H₈O₁₂, is a cube-shaped molecule with a H-atom pointing outward from each corner of the cube (Figure 9). The diameter of Si₈H₈O₁₂ is slightly smaller than that of C₆₀, so octasiloxane can enter narrower nanotubes than fullerenes. Hydrogen atoms of octasiloxane come in direct contact with the nanotube surface when the molecule is nested inside; the vibrational frequency of the Si-H bond normally appearing as a sharp band at 2277 cm⁻¹ in IR spectra in solution can be used for probing interactions between the octasiloxanes and the nanotube. Upon insertion, the Si-H absorption band is substantially broadened for Si₈H₈O₁₂@SWNT and its center is red-shifted by ca. -15 cm⁻¹. A shift opposite in sign (+17 cm⁻¹) is observed for H₈Si₈O₁₂ in the crystal, indicating that the molecules in H₈Si₈O₁₂@SWNT are not in a crystal-like state but in a state closer to solution. In nanotubes with diameters \sim 1.5 nm, most or all of the H-atoms of H₈Si₈O₁₂ will be pointing toward the nanotube sidewalls so that ν (Si–H) will be directly affected by any

interaction between H₈Si₈O₁₂ and the nanotube interior. Thus the most likely explanation for the shift of ν (Si–H) in SWNTs is the elongation of Si-H bonds as a result of dispersion forces acting between H₈Si₈O₁₂ and the nanotube.30 A large red shift was reported for NO-dimer adsorbed inside SWNTs as compared to NO-dimer in the gas phase,³¹ which was also attributed to the strong interaction with the nanotube interior. The broadening may be attributed to different local environments for Si-H bonds depending on orientation of octasiloxane with the NT and with each other. This is confirmed by HRTEM, where we see a large degree of disorder of the Si₈H₈O₁₂ in many of the nanotubes. In contrast o-carborane, (CH)₂(BH)₁₀, an icosahedral molecule decorated with H-atoms similarly to octasiloxane, was found to form zigzag packing in SWNTs.32 Flat aromatic molecules of pervlene derivative PTCDA were also demonstrated to be ordered within nanotubes in chains comprising stacked molecules in a face-to-face fashion probably resulting from a strong $\pi - \pi$ interaction between the guest molecules.33

Chemical Reactions inside Nanotubes

The examples presented above illustrate unambiguously that the structural and dynamic behavior of the molecules are substantially affected by confinement inside carbon nanotubes. A logical question to ask at this point would be, "how is the chemical reactivity of a molecule affected by the confinement?" The understanding of the mechanisms of the chemical reactions inside nanotubes is important and may lead to new applications of NTs as nanoscopic reactors. For example, the nanotube can be thought of as a shield around the molecules, lowering the activation barrier for some chemical reactions or favoring the formation of one isomer out of many possible in solution or in the solid state. Recent theoretical calculations predicted lowering of the activation barrier of Menshutkin S_N2 reactions inside nanotubes.³⁴ Generally speaking, the selectivity and the yield of organic reactions carried out inside nanotubes can be expected to be better and higher than in solutions because of the isolated, strictly controlled environment inside nanotubes.

To use NTs as chemical reactors, three major steps should be demonstrated: (1) a reliable method for loading reactive molecules into NTs, (2) a well-defined chemical transformation inside nanotubes, and (3) retrieval of the reaction product from the nanotubes. Filling nanotubes with chemically reactive fullerenes remained a challenge for many years because the methods traditionally used for insertion of fullerenes into nanotubes involved the use of high temperature (300-600 °C) to ensure that the molecules are in the vapor phase and possess enough kinetic energy to enter the nanotubes.^{6,7} Most organic molecules decompose below this temperature, making established techniques for insertion of fullerenes unsuitable. Recently, we found that supercritical CO₂ acts as an efficient medium for transporting molecules into the nanotubes.³⁵ scCO₂, with the critical point just above room



FIGURE 10. HRTEM micrographs of nanotubes filled with C_{60} (a) and functionalized fullerenes $C_{61}(COOEt)_2$ (b) and $C_{61}(COOH)_2$ (c).

temperature, appears to be highly efficient when a mixture of nanotubes and the fullerenes is immersed into the fluid under pressure 100-150 kbar.36 Under these unusual conditions, the interactions between C₆₀ molecules and CO₂ can be controlled simply by changing the pressure: at lower pressure, the $scCO_2-C_{60}$ interactions are attractive, which helps to solubilize C₆₀, whereas at high pressure the interactions are repulsive and cause the precipitation of C₆₀ from solution. Because supercritical fluids have no surface tension, very low viscosity, and high diffusivity and because of the small critical diameter of the CO₂ molecule, scCO₂ does not block nanotubes, allowing C_{60} to enter into the nanotube cavities and the solvent molecules to escape from the NT. SWNTs were filled with C₆₀ and its thermally unstable derivatives under pressure cycling conditions with a yield of up to 70%, substantially exceeding the yield obtained by using conventional solvents. Conventional organic solvents and water used in our experiments for filling arc-discharge nanotubes at room temperature produced only a small fraction of fullerene-filled nanotubes with most of the fullerene deposited on the nanotube surface. However, other researchers reported ethanol and hexane to be effective for insertion of C₆₀ into SWNTs.³⁷

Fullerenes functionalized with ester or carboxylic groups do not decay under the $scCO_2$ filling conditions, and the $C_{61}(COOH)_2$ @SWNTs and $C_{61}(COOEt)_2$ @SWNTs were the first structures where reactive chemical groups were encapsulated in NTs, providing an opportunity for studying chemical reactions. The functionalized fullerenes appeared to be significantly less stable in the e-beam and decompose in several seconds while being imaged by HRTEM (Figure 10), whereas no structural changes are normally observed for unfunctionalized fullerenes and endohedral fullerenes under the same imaging conditions for at least 20 min.

The next step toward the realization of NTs as chemical reactors requires a molecule with well-known reactivity that can be transformed from a relatively inert state to a reactive state by external stimuli, such as light, heat, or an electrochemical potential. Such molecules can be inserted into NTs intact in scCO₂, and then the reaction between the molecules can be initiated. The nanotube interior is expected to be chemically inert (much less



FIGURE 11. Polymerization of $C_{60}O$ (a) and schematic representation of $(C_{60}O)_n$ polymer formed in the solid state (b).

reactive than the nanotube exterior), and therefore NTs should be, in principle, suitable for most chemical processes. Fullerene epoxide, $C_{60}O_{1}$, is a stable molecule at room temperature, but above ~200 °C, the strained epoxide ring opens up and thus forms a highly reactive intermediate, which reacts with double C=C bonds to form furan rings (Figure 11a).³⁸ Because the monomeric C₆₀O is merohedrally disordered in the crystal above room temperature (i.e., exists as a mixture of different orientations), the polymer $(C_{60}O)_n$ (Figure 11b) forms in a disordered fashion when $C_{60}O$ is heated at 260 °C.³⁹ With the use of supercritical fluids, C₆₀O was inserted into SWNTs, and a ring-opening polymerization was carried out inside the nanotube, yielding in (C60O)n@SWNT structures.⁴⁰ Fullerenes were observed to have regular periodicity, indicating that the C₆₀O molecules bond to each other in a head-to-tail fashion, so all molecules would be aligned in the same direction when reacting (Figure 12).

When NTs are filled with a mixture of C_{60} and $C_{60}O$, then formation of oligomeric units such as $C_{120}O$ and $C_{180}O_2$ is possible. The average length of the oligomer can in principle be controlled by the $C_{60}/C_{60}O$ ratio with the higher ratio giving shorter oligomers and vice versa. In the case of sparsely filled SWNTs, it is possible to observe translational motion of dimers and other oligomers (Figure 13). The dimer and trimer translate freely in the SWNT: they move as isolated single molecules without dissociating and collide with each other without forming intermolecular bonds at ambient temperature in HRTEM. Bent rather than linear trimers $C_{180}O_2$ (Figure 11a), form preferentially in solution⁴¹ suggesting that linear trimers $C_{180}O_2$ formed inside the nanotube during thermal treatment are a result of the nanotube templating action.⁴²



FIGURE 12. Schematic representation of thermally activated polymerization of C_{60} O inside nanotubes (a) and HRTEM micrographs of $(C_{60}O)_n @$ SWNTs (b).



FIGURE 13. Time series of micrographs and corresponding structural diagrams of SWNT filled with oligomers $C_{120}O$ and $C_{180}O_2$.

Earlier research on filled carbon nanotubes showed how encapsulated molecules could be used to tune the functional electronic properties of the nanotubes. The field has since moved on to explore how the nanotubes can affect the encapsulated species. Nanotubes can be used as tiny chemical reactors with interactions between the molecules and the walls affecting the chemical behavior of the molecules. Studying intermolecular interactions in such small volumes could advance our understanding of

phase transitions of dimensionally confined environments and the mechanisms of chemical reactions. Nanotubes filled with biologically active molecules may be used as vehicles for drug delivery in living organisms. Encapsulation of electron spin or optically active endohedral fullerenes within SWNTs has also been proposed as an approach for the assembly of electronic nanodevices suitable for quantum information processing. We believe that there are opportunities for chemistry in carbon nanotubes that can change the way we make and manipulate molecules inside them.

This research is part of the QIP IRC, www.qipirc.org (Grant GR/ S82176/01), supported through the Foresight LINK Award Nanoelectronics at the Quantum Edge funded by DTI, EPSRC (Grant GR/R66029/01), and Hitachi Europe Ltd. A.N.K. is supported by the Leverhulme Trust, EPSRC, The Royal Society, and the University of Nottingham. D.A.B. is supported by an ORS Award. G.A.D.B. thanks EPSRC for a Professorial Research Fellowship (Grant GR/S15808/01).

References

- (1) Reich, S.; Thomsen, C.; Maultzsch, J. Carbon Nanotubes: Basic Concepts and Physical Properties; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2004; p 215.
- (2) Chiu, P. W.; Yang, S. F.; Yang, S. H.; Gu, G.; Roth, S. Temperature dependence of conductance character in nanotube peapods. Appl. Phys. A 2003, 76, 463-467.
- Lee, J.; Kim, H.; Kahng, S.-J.; Kim, G.; Son, Y.-W.; Ihm, J.; Kato, (3)H.; Wang, Z. W.; Okazaki, T.; Shinohara, H.; Kuk, Y. Band gap modulation of carbon nanotubes by encapsulated metallofullerenes. Nature 2002, 415, 1005-1008.
- (4) Sloan, J.; Kirkland, A. I.; Hutchison, J. L.; Green, M. L. H. Structural characterization of atomically regulated nanocrystals formed within single-walled carbon nanotubes using electron microscopy. Acc. Chem. Res. 2002, 35, 1054-1062.
- (5) Smith, B. W.; Monthioux, M.; Luzzi, D. E. Encapsulated C₆₀ in carbon nanotubes. Nature 1998, 396, 323-324.
- Ulbricht, H.; Moos, G.; Hertel, T.; Interaction of C₆₀ with carbon (6)nanotubes and graphite. Phys. Rev. Lett. 2003, 90, No. 095501.
- (7)Ulbricht, H.; Hertel, T. Dynamics of C₆₀ encapsulation into singlewalled carbon nanotubes. J. Phys. Chem. B 2003, 107, 14185-14190.
- (8) Yoon, M.; Berber, S.; Tomanek, D. Energetics and packing of fullerenes in nanotube peapods. Phys. Rev. B 2005, 71, No. 155406.
- (9) Hornbaker, D. J.; Kahng, S.-J.; Misra, S.; Smith, B. W.; Johnson, A. T.; Mele, E. J.; Luzzi, D. E.; Yazdani, A. Mapping of onedimensional electronic states of nanotube peapod structures. Science 2002, 295, 828-831.
- (10) Heiney, P. A.; Fischer, J. E.; McGhie, A. R.; Romanow, W. J.; Denenstein, A. M.; McCauley, J. P.; Smith, A. B. Orientational ordering transition in solid C60. Phys. Rev. Lett. 1991, 66, 2911-2914.
- (11) Girifalco, L. A.; Hodak, M. van der Waals binding energies in graphitic structures. Phys. Rev. B 2002, 65, No. 125404.
- (12) Hirahara, K.; Bandow, S.; Suenaga, K.; Kato, H.; Okazaki, T.; Shinohara, H.; Iijima, S. Electron diffraction study of onedimensional crystals of fullerenes. Phys. Rev. B 2001, 64, No. 115420.
- (13) Smith, B. W.; Russo, R. M.; Chikkannanavar, S. B.; Luzzi, D. E. High-yield synthesis and one-dimensional structure of C₆₀ encapsulated in single-walled carbon nanotubes. J. Appl. Phys. 2002, 91, 9333-9340.
- (14) Khlobystov, A. N.; Britz, D. A.; Ardavan, A.; Briggs, G. A. D. Observation of ordered phases of fullerene in carbon nanotubes. Phys. Rev. Lett. 2004, 92, No. 245507.
- (15) Zettl and co-workers have described packing behaviour of C₆₀ in wide boron nitride nanotubes similar to those observed in carbon nanotubes. Mickelson, W.; Aloni, S.; Han, W.-Q.; Cumings, J.; Zettl, A. Packing of C₆₀ in boron nitride nanotubes. Science 2003, 300, 467-469.
- (16) Hodak, M.; Girifalco, L. A. Systems of C₆₀ molecules inside (10,10) and (15,15) nanotube: a Monte Carlo study. Phys. Rev. B 2003, 68, No. 085405.
- 908 ACCOUNTS OF CHEMICAL RESEARCH / VOL. 38, NO. 12, 2005

- (17) Pickett, G. T.; Gross, M.; Okuyama, H. Spontaneous chirality in simple systems. Phys. Rev. Lett. 2000, 85, 3652-3655.
- (18) Hodak, M.; Girifalco, L. A. Ordered phases of fullerene molecules formed inside carbon nanotubes. Phys. Rev. B 2003, 67, No. 075419.
- (19) Vaughan, G. B. M.; Heiney, P. A.; Fischer, J. E.; Luzzi, D. E.; Ricketts-Foot, D. A.; McGhie, A. R.; Hui, Y.-W.; Smith, A. L.; Cox, D. E.; Romanow, W. J.; Allen, B. H.; Coustel, N.; McCauley, J. P.; Smith, A. B. Orientational disorder in solvent-free solid C70. Science 1991, 254, 1350-1353.
- (20) Khlobystov, A. N.; Scipioni, R.; Nguyen-Manh, D.; Britz, D. A.; Pettifor, D. G.; Briggs, G. A. D.; Lyapin, S. G.; Ardavan, A.; Nicholas, R. J. Molecular ordering of ellipsoidal fullerene C70 inside SWNTs. Appl. Phys. Lett. 2004, 84, 792-794.
- (21) Note that metallofullerene Y@C₈₂ crystallizing in a monoclinic space group $P2_1$ (lower symmetry than the Ce@C₈₂ fcc crystal) exhibits an alignment of dipole moments along the [001] direction in the bulk. Shinohara, H. Endohedral Metallofullerenes. Rep. Prog. Phys. 2000, 63, 843-892 and references therein.
- (22) Extremely low friction of concentric carbon nanotubes moving with respect to each other was demonstrated by Cumings, J.; Zettl, A. Low-friction nanoscale linear bearing realized from multiwall carbon nanotube. Science 2000, 289, 602-604.
- (23) Khlobystov, A. N.; Porfyrakis, K.; Kanai, M.; Britz, D. A.; Ardavan, A.; Dennis, T. J. S.; Briggs, G. A. D. Molecular motion of endohedral fullerenes in single-walled carbon nanotubes. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 1386-1389 and references therein.
- (24) Smith, B. W.; Luzzi, D. E.; Achiba, Y. Tumbling atoms and evidence for charge transfer in La2@C80@SWNT. Chem. Phys. Lett. 2000, *331*, 137–142.
- (25) Smith, B. W.; Luzzi, D. E.; Electron irradiation effects in single wall carbon nanotubes. J. Appl. Phys. 2001, 90, 3509-3515.
- (26) Luzzi, D. E.; Smith, B. W.; Russo, R.; Satishkumar, B. C.; Stercel, F.; Nemes, N. Encapsulation of metallofullerenes and metallocenes in carbon nanotubes. In Electronic Properties of Molecular Nanostructures, Kuzmani, H., Ed.; American Institute of Physics: Melville, NY, 2000; pp 622-626.
- (27) Li, L.-J.; Khlobystov, A. N.; Wiltshire, J. G.; Briggs, G. A. D.; Nicholas, R. J. Diameter selective encapsulation of metallocenes in single-walled carbon nanotubes. Nat. Mater. 2005, 4, 481-485.
- (28) Lu, J.; Nagase, S.; Yu, D.; Ye, H.; Han, R.; Gao, Z.; Zhang, S.; Peng, L. Amphoteric controllable doping of carbon nanotubes by encapsulation of organic and organometallic molecules. Phys. Rev. Lett. 2004, 93, No. 116804.
- Takenobu, T.; Takano, T.; Shiraishi, M.; Murakami, Y.; Ata, M.; Kataura, H.; Achiba, Y.; Iwasa, Y. Stable controlled amphoteric (29) doping by encapsulation of organic molecules inside carbon nanotubes. Nat. Mater. 2003, 2, 683-688.
- (30) Wang, J.; Kuimova, M. A.; Poliakoff, M.; Khlobystov, A. N. Manuscript in preparation.
- (31) Byl, O.; Kondratyuk P.; Yates, J. T. Adsorption and dimerisation of NO inside single-walled carbon nanotubes - an infrared spectroscopy study. J. Phys. Chem. B 2003, 107, 4277-4279.
- (32) Morgan, D. A.; Sloan, J.; Green, M. L. H. Direct imaging of o-carborane molecules within single walled carbon nanotubes. Chem. Commun. 2002, 2442-2443.
- (33) Fujita, Y.; Bandow, S.; lijima, S. Formation of small diameter carbon nanotubes from PTCDA arranged inside the single-walled carbon nanotubes. Chem. Phys. Lett. 2005, 413, 410-414.
- (34) Halls, M. D.; Schlegel, H. B. Chemistry inside carbon nanotubes: the Menshutkin S_N2 reaction. J. Phys. Chem. B 2002, 106, 1921 1925
- (35) Britz, D. A.; Khlobystov, A. N.; Wang, J.; O'Neil, S. A.; Poliakoff, M.; Ardavan, A.; Briggs, G. A. D. Selective host-guest interaction of single-walled carbon nanotubes with functionalised fullerenes. Chem. Commun. 2004, 176–177.
- (36) Khlobystov, A. N.; Britz, D. A.; O'Neil, S. A.; Wang, J.; Poliakoff, M.; Briggs, G. A. D. Low temperature assembly of fullerene arrays in single-walled carbon nanotubes using supercritical Fluids. J. Mater. Chem. 2004, 14, 2852-2857.
- (37) Yudasaka, M.; Ajima, K.; Suenaga, K.; Ichihashi, T.; Hashimoto, A.; lijima, S. Nanoextraction and nanocondensation for C₆₀ incorporation into single-wall carbon nanotubes in liquid phases. Chem. Phys. Lett. 2003, 380, 42-46. Simon, F.; Kuzmany, H.; Rauf, H.; Pichler, T.; Bernardi, J.; Peterlik, H.; Korecz, L.; Fulop, F.; Janossy, A. Low-temperature fullerene encapsulation in single wall carbon nanotubes: synthesis of N@C60@SWCNT. Chem. Phys. Lett. 2004, 383, 362-367.
- (38) Smith, A. B.; Tokuyama, H.; Strongin, R. M.; Furst, G. T.; Romanow, W. J. Synthesis of oxo-bridged and methylene-bridged C₆₀ dimers, the first well-characterized species containing fullerenefullerene bonds. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 9359-9360.

Molecules in Carbon Nanotubes Khlobystov et al.

- (39) Meingast, C.; Roth, G.; Pintschovius, L.; Michel, R. H.; Stoermer, C.; Kappes, M. M.; Heiney, P. A.; Brard, L.; Strongin, R. M.; Smith, A. B. Structure, dynamics, and phase transitions in the fullerene derivatives C₆₀O and C₆₁H₂. *Phys. Rev. B* **1996**, *54*, 124–131.
 (40) Britz, D. A.; Khlobystov, A. N.; Porfyrakis, K.; Ardavan, A.; Briggs, C. D. Chemical metainly interview interview in the relative set of the relative set of the relative set. *B* **1996**, *54*, 124–131.
- (40) Britz, D. A.; Khlobystov, A. N.; Porfyrakis, K.; Ardavan, A.; Briggs, G. A. D. Chemical reactions inside single-walled nano test-tube. *Chem. Commun.* 2005, 37–39.
- (41) Deng, J.-P.; Mou, C.-Y.; Han, C.-C. C₁₈₀O₂, a V-shaped fullerene trimer. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1996**, *256*, 96–100.
- (42) Unfunctionalized C₆₀ in C₆₀@SWNTs starts to coalesce uncontrollably into oligomeric chains $(C_{60})_n$ at ~800 °C, followed by complete amalgamation. Bandow, S.; Takizawa, M.; Hirahara, K.; Yudasaka, M.; Iijima, S. Raman scattering of double-wall carbon nanotubes derived from the chains of fullerenes in single-walled carbon nanotubes. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **2001**, *337*, 48–54.

AR040287V